

United States District Court, Northern District of Illinois

| Name of Assigned Judge or Magistrate Judge | James B. Moran | Sitting Judge if Other than Assigned Judge | |
|---|----------------|---|----------------------|
| CASE NUMBER | 02 C 4394 | DATE | 6/2/2003 |
| CASE TITLE | MCI WorldCor | n Network etc. Vs. At | las Excavating, Inc. |

| TITLE | | | Wiel Worldcom Network etc. Vs. 2 | thas Excavaning, an | ·· | | | |
|---|--|---|---|--|--------------------|--|--|--|
| [In the following box (a) of the motion being pre | | |) indicate the party filing the motion, e.g., plaintiff, defendant, 3rd party plaintiff, and (b) state briefly the nature exented.] | | | | | |
| Memorandum Opinion and Order | | | | | | | | |
| DOC | DOCKET ENTRY: | | | | | | | |
| (1) | ☐ Filed | motion of [use listing | ng in "Motion" box above.] | | | | | |
| (2) | ☐ Brief | n support of motion due | | | | | | |
| (3) | ☐ Answ | Answer brief to motion due Reply to answer brief due | | | | | | |
| (4) | □ Ruling | | | | | | | |
| (5) | ☐ Status hearing[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at | | | | | | | |
| (6) | ☐ Pretri | Pretrial conference[held/continued to] [set for/re-set for] on set for at | | | | | | |
| (7) | ☐ Trial[| Trial[set for/re-set for] on at | | | | | | |
| (8) | ☐ [Benc | [Bench/Jury trial] [Hearing] held/continued to at | | | | | | |
| (9) | | | | | | | | |
| (10) | | | | | | | | |
| (11) | | | er attached to the original minute order.] | | rumenanas | | | |
| | No notices required, advised in open court. No notices required. | | | | Document Number | | | |
| <u> </u> | Notices mailed by judge's staff. | | | number of notices | | | | |
| Notified counsel by telephone. | | elephone. | | JUN 3 2003 | | | | |
| Docketing to mail notices. | | tices. | | III III B | | | | |
| Mail AO 450 form. | | rode to dee | ED-7 FILEO FOR DOCKUTING | docketing deputy initials | $I \cup O$ | | | |
| | Copy to judge/magistrate judge. | | | | , | | | |
| | WAH | courtroom deputy's initials | U.S. Displaying received in | date mailed notice | | | | |

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EASTERN DIVISION

DOCKETER 3 2003

| MCI WORLDCOM NETWORK SERVICES, |) | |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|
| INC, |) | |
| |) | |
| Plaintiff, |) | |
| |) | |
| VS. |) No. 02 | 2 C 4394 |
| |) | |
| ATLAS EXCAVATING, INC., |) | |
| |) | |
| Defendant. |) | |

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Defendant seeks to take far more than ten depositions. For now, its motion is denied. Perhaps more than ten will ultimately be necessary, but initial depositions may well demonstrate that many of the persons defendant seeks to depose know far less than those deposed and can add nothing to what defendant learns, and plaintiff may well disclaim any interest in having them appear at trial. Until discovery is more advanced we cannot know if more than ten depositions are appropriate and, if so, how many and who.

The motion to compel is granted. Defendant does not contend that its response would be overly burdensome, only that much of the information is irrelevant. That may well be so, but that cannot be determined without knowing what it is.

JAMES B. MORAN

Semor Judge, U. S. District Court

<u>fune 2</u>, 2003.

